Lessons learned about the physical and aquatic response of rivers to dam removal, USA



Gordon Grant PNW Research Station USDA Forest Service Corvallis, Oregon

John Wesley Powell Center for Analysis and Synthesis Working Group on Dam Removal

Marmot Dam, Sandy River, Oregon USA (1913 – 2007)





animation and compilation courtesy of: Hiroshi Ishidaira Yamanashi University World Commission on Dams 13,382 dams in 2000

U.S. Dams Built and Removed

1915-2015



- Aging dams/dam safety
- Threatened and endangered species
- "Natural flow regime" paradigm
- River restoration
- Policy windows (i.e., FERC relicensing)
- Deregulation of energy
 industry
- Symbolic value

In 1981, Earth First! unfurls a 300ft plastic "crack" along the front of Glen Canyon Dam.

Why dam removal?



Bruce Babbitt takes a sledgehammer to Quaker Neck Dam in North Carolina, as U.S. Secretary of the Interior in 1997



Brownsville Dam, Calapooia River, OR

Foley et al. 2017

Key questions for dam removal SEDIMENT FLOW (Q) **CHEMISTRY TEMP** (Qs) Upstream: how much sediment is eroded Downstream: DAM how quickly? where does it go? Channel morphology what effect on Aquatic **Biology** ecology?

Geomorphic lessons learned

 Response to removal of large versus small dams is different

Large dams ≠ Small dams



- >15 meters high
- >10⁵m³ sediment volume
- Reservoirs usually partially filled with sediment
- Progressive/staged dam removal



- <15 meters high</p>
- <10⁵m³ sediment volume
- Reservoirs often filled with sediment
- Typically "blow & go" dam removal

Sediment released by dam removals



Grant & Lewis, 2015

Geomorphic lessons learned

Response to removal of large versus small dams is different

 Rate of sediment transport from reservoir is a function of grain size, volume of sediment, and how dam was removed



Geomorphic lessons learned

Response to removal of large versus small dams is different

- Rate of sediment transport from reservoir is a function of grain size, volume of sediment, and how dam was removed
- Downstream effect on channel form scales with volume and rate of sediment release, channel energy



Sediment Deposition below Brownsville Reservoir (Zunka, 2012)



Marmot Dam

Geomorphic lessons learned

- Response to removal of large versus small dams is different
- Rate of sediment transport from reservoir is a function of grain size, volume of sediment, and how dam was removed
- Downstream effect on channel form scales with volume and rate of sediment release, channel energy
- Removal of small dams with limited storage has minimal physical effects on downstream rivers

Key questions for dam removal



Downstream: where does it go?



Upstream: how much sediment is eroded how quickly?

Channel morphology Aquatic Biology what effect on ecology?

Ecological lessons learned

 Response varies by stream reach relative to former dam and reservoir Stream reaches influenced by dam removal





Ecological lessons learned

Response varies by stream reach relative to former dam and reservoir

 Reaches undergo different trajectories following dam removal

Ecological response trajectories following dam removal



Ecological lessons learned

- Response varies by stream reach relative to former dam and reservoir
- Reaches undergo different trajectories following dam removal
- In general, fish and other organisms respond rapidly to removal

Salmon recolonization above former Elwha dams



Some other lessons...

- Ask good questions that address both local issues and broader understanding.
- Build science around a few key removals.
 Focus on the ones most likely to answer critical questions.
- Build strong partnerships with scientists, NGOs, citizens, and governments.

Dam removal science occurs within a cultural context

Economic

geomorphology

Historical

hydrology

engineering



Legal

Social



For more information and lists of publications please visit:

Watershed Processes Group http://wpg.forestry.oregonstate.edu/

John Wesley Powell Center for Analysis and Synthesis https://www.usgs.gov/centers/powellctr